### 2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Advisory Panel for Genetics

Report Run Date: 06/06/2019 03:20:31 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

National Science Foundation 2001

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Advisory Panel for Genetics 1149

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 06/30/1999 06/30/2001 06/30/2001

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

Yes 06/30/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

ADM IV-100 03/31/1988 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 4 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 4 Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Proposal review	10/25/2000	- 10/26/2000
Proposal review	10/30/2000	- 10/31/2000
Proposal Review	04/26/2001	- 04/28/2001
Proposal Review	05/03/2001	- 05/04/2001

**Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 4** 

	Current FY I	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$59,920.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$13,721.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$21,268.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$1,200.00	\$0.00

Command EV Maret EV

0.27

0.00

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Genetics Cluster recommends for support scientific research projects which are received as unsolicited proposals in the area of genetics. Many more are received than can be supported and advice on both the intrinsic and relative scientific merits of each proposal is given to the Program Directors by a panel convened for that purpose. The panel is composed of experts who have the appropriate education and experience to evaluate, both conceptually and technically, research projects relevant to genetic understanding. The panel evaluates between 250-300 proposals per year. It also provides advice and information on the most promising areas of genetic research, information important to the long-range program planning.

#### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The discipline of genetics has provided tools utilized in most areas of biological research today and, in doing so, has broadened the discipline of genetics. It is therefore imperative to include broadly trained geneticists in a balanced reflection of the genetics community on panels which evaluate the scientific projections of that community. Scientific expertise of an individual is the first and most important attribute of a panelist. However, every effort is made to maintain balance with regard to gender, minority and disability status, relative seniority, geographic location, and type of institution.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The goal sought is no more than a six-month interval between the receipt of a proposal and a decision. That goal requires panel meetings at timely intervals throughout the year. Currently panel meetings are held twice a year for each of the two subareas.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The diversity of proposals received from the genetics research community requires expert input from professionals trained in the diverse areas of genetic research. Such advice is essential for fair evaluation of proposals and for effective scientific long-range planning. The panel, by discussing a group of proposals at one time, can provide an evaluation of the relative merits of the proposals. No other mechanism would provide a comparable final product, regardless of cost.

# 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

To review proposals that included information or a proprietary or confidential nature,

including technical information; financial data such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

## 21. Remarks

## **Designated Federal Officer**

Maryanna P. Henkart Division Director, MCB

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Alleman, Mary	04/01/2001	03/31/2002	2 Duquesne University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bassler, Bonnie	09/01/2000	08/31/2001	Princeton University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Battista, John	11/01/1998	3 10/31/2000	Louisiana State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Belote, John	11/01/1998	3 10/31/2000	Syracuse University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bender, Judith	11/01/1999	9 10/31/2000	) John Hopkins University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bowman, John	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 University of California-Davis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cannon, John	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 University of Missouri-Columbia	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Cone, Karen	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 University of Missouri-Columbia	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Dawson, Dean			2 Tufts University	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Dean, Ralph Deninger,	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 North Carolina state University	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Prescott	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 Tulane University	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Dong, Xinnian	03/01/2000	02/28/2001	Duke University	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Ebbole, Daniel	09/01/2000	08/31/2001	Texas A&M University	(SGE) Member
Eisen, Jonathan	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 The Institute for Genomic Research	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Forney, James	11/01/1999	9 10/31/2001	Purdue University	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Gergen, Peter	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 SUNY - Stony Brook	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Gold, Scott	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 University of Georgia	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Gonzalez, Carlos	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 Texas A&M University Main Campus	(SGE) Member Special Government Employee
Grogan, Dennis	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 University of Cincinnati	(SGE) Member
Innes, Roger	03/01/2001	02/28/2002	2 Indiana University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jasin, Maria	04/01/1999	9 03/31/2001	Sloan-Kettering Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Katz, Laura	09/01/2000	0 02/28/2001	Smith College	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

			Special Government Employee
Kenney, Linda	03/01/2000	02/28/2001 Oregon Health Sciences Univ	(SGE) Member
Khan, Saleem	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 University of Pittsburgh	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Klobutcher, Lawrence	01/01/2001	02/28/2002 University of Connecticut	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Krebs, Mark	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 University of Wisconsin	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kreitman, Martin	03/01/2000	02/28/2001 University of Chicago	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lazarowitz, Sondra	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 Cornell University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lichten, Michael	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 National Institutes of Health	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McCarter, Linda	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 University of Iowa	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McClung, C.	03/01/2001	05/31/2001 Dartmouth College	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McEwen, Joan	03/01/2000	02/28/2001 Univ of Arkansas Med Science & Central Arkansas Veteran's Healthcare System	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McKim, Kim	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 Rutgers, The State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Misra, Rajeev	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 Arizona State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Nakano, Michiko	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 Oregon Graduate Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Newton, Kathleen	11/01/1999	10/31/2000 University of Missouri-Columbia	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pato, Martin	03/01/2001	05/31/2001 University of Colorado - Denver	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pfeifer, Karl	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 National Institutes of Health	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Richards, Eric	03/01/2000	02/28/2002 Washington University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Roe, Bruce	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 University of Oklahoma	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rosenzweig, Frank	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 University of Florida	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Saffarini, Daad	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 University of Wisconsin - Wilwaukee	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schluchter, Wendy	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 University of New Orleans	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sears, Barbara	03/01/2001	02/28/2002 Michigan State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Segall, Anca	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 San Diego State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Shimkets, Larry	09/01/2000	08/31/2001 University of Georgia	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Stern, David	09/01/2000	08/31/2002 Cornell University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thiel, Teresa	11/01/1999	10/31/2001 University of Missouri - St. Louis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 48** 

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with	this committee?
	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
WI	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	Chapted if Applies
None	Checked if Applies
Unable to Determine	<u></u>
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
<b>3</b>	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by	by this committee
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced to for the life of the committee?	by this committee

**Number of Recommendations Comments** 

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendation will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?  0%	s that have been or
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendation will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	s that have been or
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments	
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding implement recommendations or advice offered?  Yes □ No □ Not Applicable ✓	ng actions taken to
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the conrecommendation?	nmittee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for gra	nts?
Grant Review Comments	

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?		
	Checked if Applies	
Contact DFO		
Online Agency Web Site		
Online Committee Web Site		
Online GSA FACA Web Site		
Publications		
Other		

**Access Comments**